



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/899,026	07/06/01	Andre Stamm	107664.115053

EXAMINER	
H. SHEIKH	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	10

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HUMERA N. SHEIKH (3) EDWARD D. GRIFF
(2) KISHORE GOLAMUDI (4) HENRY M. WIXON ; PIERRE DIEBO
Date of Interview 10/17/2002 FRANCIS PCHA

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 35-90

Identification of prior art discussed: PRIOR ART ON RECORD

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The differences between instant invention and that of Boyer were discussed. Since claims as recited appear to read on Boyer suggestions were made to amend the claims, to the suggestions including the use the terms "solid mixture with a solid hydrophilic polymer", "consisting essentially of". A suggestion was made to compare the dissolution rates of Boyer's product and instant product using the same method, if possible. It would appear that the claim as recited would read even on Curtet. A suggestion was made to draft around the claims to differentiate it.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

from Curtet. Applicant's response will be reviewed carefully and the allowability of the claims determined.

Kishore S. Kishore, PhD
Primary Examiner
1600